G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Ch 367-16(1+2)
" 72-16(1-2)
" 206-15(1-2)
" 396-14(1-5)

~~*Later references.*~~

G.J. 200-11(7+13)
Jud 16/1838
Ch 53-17, 54.
Ch 134-17 & 1+2
Ch 211-24 abd 1-2

Revenue 1917-18 (Courts)

53-17

File No. 53-17

Serial Nos. 1 to 4

(One Confidential Sealed Cover)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 4

Part B for February 1918.

SUBJECT.

Honorarium to Mr. N. T. Gopalaengar, Registrar Chief Court, for the work done by him in Connection with the Digest of the Mysore Laws & Chief Court Reports.

4) To the Registrar Chief Court.
" Comptroller & Govt.

No J 3544-5 Dy 26-2-1918.

Sanctions the payment of an honorarium of two months pay to Mr Gopalaengar for his work in Connection with the preparation of the Digest.—

by order
Abdul Rahiman Khan
2.3.1918

W D 88—G2B—50000—24-7-17

G. R. S. 11] 1917.

45?

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Cant)

NOTES.

File No. 53-17

FILE HEADING.

Honorarium to Mr. N. T. Gopalaiyar, Registrar, Chief Court, for the work done by him in connection with the Digest of the Mysore Law & Chief Court Reports.

From

The Registrar, Chief Court.

No. C. No. 261-17-18 Dated 24.8.17 Received 25.8.17

Diary No. J. 453	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Solicits reconsideration of para 2 of Govt order no: J. 1596-8-C5 396-14 dt 1.11.1915 and the grant of a remuneration of Rs 2,000 to Mr Gopalaiya for the work referred to.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted with references. Please see Secretary's order on the Registrar's letter.

ASR 27/8

2) This case is slightly different from the cases in which honoraria were sanctioned for compilation of Manuals.

NOTES—First Sheet.

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 53 of 1917.

Serial No.

The work in most cases was done out of office hours. In the present case the work was carried on under the special supervision of one of the Judges of the Chief Court, and an establishment costing Rs 75/- a month was also sanctioned for some months. The services of one of the clerks on Rs 40/- was continued for 4 months. A proportionate Payment to a proof reader was also sanctioned. In another connection, the Chief Court have reported that Mr S. Ramachandra Sastry carried and records the headings of the reported cases for the Digest of Mysore. It is not clear therefore what work was done out of office hours.

3) Further, the Registrar went to Madras a number of times to Madras in connection with the Digest during and has drawn the necessary D.A. & Batta. Apparently the cost of this has not been included in the amount mentioned in the letter under disposal. The actual amount that has been paid on these trips can be ascertained only from the Comptroller. If that is added to the sum mentioned in the letter under disposal, the total cost may really be more. It is also not likely that all or most of the remaining copies will be sold in future.

Submitted for orders.

Sd/-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 52 of 1917.

Serial No.

4) Travelling allowances represent the actual cost of journey incurred. The work is special, involving much responsibility. The Chief Court-Judges are best competent to form a correct idea of the difficulties of the work and their recommendation seems to deserve consideration. At least a moiety of the honorarium or two months' pay recommended may be sanctioned.

First member agrees

Dues of Accs 29/8 29/8

5) 9 cases for consideration regret formerly. In regard to the latest amount of remuneration, the question may be considered at a meeting of the Committee when Secy's permission is taken to hold a short meeting.

31/8 Secy 31/8 31/8

6) I think the effort is creditable to the Registrar. The matter may be considered at a meeting of Committee. 9.9.17. HC

GEN. & REV. 1297 11.9.17 FINANCIAL 11 SEP 1917

R. 755-17

7) Sanction of Govt is necessary for the enhancement of travelling allowances

8) Yes, in favour of principle. 8/9

Subs. There seems the propriety of submitting it to Hon'ble Member for 11.9.17 orders. HC 11.9.17.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

(4)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C/3 File No. 52 of 191 .

H955-17

Serial No.

10) Ms to Br III will kindly bring up the book and explain the nature of work done by the Regr. Without such explr it is difficult to correctly estimate the remuneration that may be reasonably paid

M
20/9/17

K 21/9

Ms for

11) Has source been pointed out?

M
4.10.17

To Br III). K 5-10-17

12) Submitted. The letter from the Chief Court is silent on the point. 13) Draft enquiring

Approved K 5/10

Draft submitted K 9/10

14)

15) Issued 9/10/17

1 encl A

2. To, The Registrar Chief Court No J 1323. Dt 11-10-1917
Requests to point out the source from which the amount is proposed to be met.

3 From. The Registrar Chief Court No Roc 261/1917-18 Dt 16-10-1917
Points out the source from which the amount is to be met.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 52 of 1917.

Serial No.

R 755-17

States that there is no source from which the expenditure can be met except the general savings in the 9th Dept budget, and requests that, in case the latter is not feasible, a special allotment of funds may be made for the purpose

11b)

Subd with reference to para 11 above

Asst 18/10

WLL

18/10

BII) 17)

Subd. Cannot the amount required be met out of the unbound provision of Rs 2800 made in the current year for purchase of books under chief comt.

Asst III).

18
19.10.17

18)

Subd for orders. A draft to the Regr, Chief Court is put up.

Asst 20/10 WLL 19/no. English Law Reports of value of Rs 1000. have already been ordered & the balance will be early steps for the purchase of the necessary books.

18/10

BII) 20

Subd. Tel Secy). Vide query of 4.10.17 18
20.10.17. 22.10.17)

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. II FINANCIAL 20 OCT 1917

R 755-17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

911

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

6

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C. File No. 57 of 1917.

Serial No.

21

No financial objn. to sanction the proposal of the chief court if funds can be found by reappn. - To Chief Secy with refee to Dewan's order of 9.9.17

Chief Secy).

12/11/17 12/11

22

Circulate minutes meeting

16/11/17

16/11

1755-17

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 277

19/4/1917

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER

SUBJECT.

23

(Clause xvii of the Schedule.)

Proposals for the grant of a remuneration to Mr. N.T.Gopalaiengar, Registrar, Chief Court, for his work in connection with the preparation of the Digest of the Mysore Law and Chief Court Reports.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

H Balasundaram

Chief *Secretary to Government.*

17th November 1917.

Extraordinary Member of Council. 30.1.18.

First Member of Council. 20/11

Second Member of Council. 2.11

WD 2670—GPB—1,000—11-5-17

Extract from the Proceedings of the 439th Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore, on the 31st January 1918.

14. Subject.-Clause xvii of the Schedule.

Proposals for the grant of a remuneration to Mr. N.T.Gopala Iyengar, Regiatrar, Chief Court, for his work in connection with the preparation of the Digest of the Mysore Law and Chief Court Reports.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

An honorarium of two months' pay may be paid to Mr. Gopala Iyenga

Sd. M. Visvesvaraya,
Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

W. D. 1364—GPB—200—14-1

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 5 File No 52 of 1917.

18

Serial No.

30) D.F.N. is submitted

1st Member

CM 8/7/18

31) W/ Q.M.

32) Putup DSN

CM 9/7/18

33) DSN submitted

Dewan

CM 9/7/18

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:—

In Government order No.J.156-8/Cts.396-14-5 dated the 1st November 1915, the proposal of the Chief Court to have a Digest of the Mysore Law and Chief Court Reports compiled as an official publication was sanctioned by Government on the understanding that, apart from the actual cost of ~~the~~ publication, it should not entail any additional expenditure on Government in the shape of remuneration for extra work etc.

2. Mr.N.T.Gopaliengar, Registrar, Chief Court, who was engaged on this work, has now represented that it involved strenuous labour out of office hours for nearly two years and that in consideration of this circumstance and his impending retirement, he may be given a personal allowance of Rs.150 per mensem or granted an honorarium of Rs.2,500. The Chief Court consider that, having regard to the magnitude, importance and utility of the Digest, Mr.Gopaliengar deserves a special reward for his labour and they have suggested a sum of Rs.2,000 as a suitable compensation for the work.

3. The publication of the Digest involved an expenditure of Rs.3,410 out of which a sum of Rs.1,700 has already been realized by Government and copies worth Rs.6,350 exclusive of the number distributed to the Courts in the State for reference, are now ~~in~~ in stock for sale.

4. The matter was considered in Council and, having regard to the recommendation of the Chief Court and the fact that the undertaking

undertaking has more than paid its way, they are of opinion that an honorarium of two months' pay, that is, Rs.1,200 may be given to Mr.Gopaliengar for this special work.

Page 7 of Office Note

5. The Financial Secretary has no objection to the grant of the proposed honorarium.

Page 6 of do.

The recommendation of the Council may be approved.

9th February 1918.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

9.2.18.

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second *Member of Council on the subject of* granting a remuneration to Mr. N. T. Gopala Iyengar, Registrar, Chief Court, for work in connection with the Digest of the Mysore Law and Chief Court Reports, *for His Highness' gracious approval.*

M. Kangappa

Dewan.

12th Feb 1918.

C.27

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

35) ***Subject.***

Grant of an honorarium of Rs.1,200, to Mr. N. T. Gopala Iyengar, Registrar, Chief Court, for work in connection with the Digest of the Mysore Law and Chief Court Reports.

124

36) ***Order.***

~~KRW~~

14/2/18

37) Chief Secretary

15.2.18

38)

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

9 f 9

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 53 of 1917.

Serial No.

(2) Draft G.O. Subd.

Reference is invited to the first secret note in para 21, Supra. Para 2 of the draft is added, Subject to approval.

ASL 22/2

In favour of approval

2860 22/2

1-3-18

First Member 23.2

4 To The Registrar of Court & The Controller

No J 3544-5 26th Dec 16.

Sanction the payment of an honorarium of two months pay to Dr. Gopalaiengar for his work in connection with the preparation of the Digest.

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore,

Dated 24th August 1 9 1 7.

DIARY No. J.453.

From

N. T. Gopaliengar Esq., B.A., LL.B.,

Registrar of the

Chief Court of Mysore.

1917-1918 Cours 52-17

GEN. & SECRETARIAT III JUDICIAL 26 AUG 1917

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General Department,

B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

I am directed to forward a copy of the note submitted to the Judges by the Registrar, and to invite reference to the letter No.873/46 dated the 12th August 1915 explaining the circumstances under which it was thought desirable to direct the Registrar of the Court to prepare an up-to-date Digest as an official publication. The Judges then recommended only the entertainment of a small establishment to help the Registrar, and did not consider the question of any remuneration to him for the work, deeming it desirable to await its completion, before making any recommendation on this point. In approving of the recommendation of the Judges for the preparation of the Digest by official agency, the Government in their Proceedings No.J. 156-8/Cts.396-14-5 dated 1st November 1915 laid down a condition that no expenditure in addition to what was proposed should be suggested later, such as "extra remuneration for the preparation of the Digest". The Judges are of opinion, now that the work has been completed, that a good case has been made out for special remuneration to the Registrar, and believe that the Government will not in the circumstances explained refuse to reconsider this condition.

2. Mr. Gopaliengar was engaged in the preparation of

of the Digest from July 1915 to the 31st of May 1917 outside office hours, and the work was finished on the latter date. The Judges find that the work has satisfied a long felt want and is one of great utility for the State, for the Judges, Magistrates and the legal profession and the heads of public offices, and that Mr. Gopaliengar deserves great credit for the care and energy with which he has pushed it to completion, and for having done the work as thoroughly and economically as possible. The opinions expressed about the book, forwarded herewith, also testify to its usefulness.

3 The Digest was at first estimated to be finished in 75 formes, and has now exceeded its limit by more than half its original size. Nevertheless in obedience to the orders of the Judges Mr. Gopaliengar undertook to do the work though it did not fall within his legitimate functions.

4 In 1890 the late Mr. J. Hayes (senior) prepared a digest of cases reported in only ten volumes of the Mysore Law Reports, and it is understood that the Government were pleased to pay him Rs.900 as the price of 75 copies supplied to them. The present digest comprises the cases reported in 39 volumes. Before delivering to the Curator the unsold volumes of the Digest, the Deputy Registrar was able to sell 170 copies of it. The Government have passed orders for the distribution of 191 copies free of cost to all courts and offices. Thus the total number of copies sold and distributed is 361. The amount spent by the Government was only Rs.3409-11-8, and the cost of the copies sold and distributed comes to Rs.3610 so that the money spent by them is already more than realised. The remaining 635 copies priced at Rs.6350 and handed over to the Curator are now the property of the Government. Besides, the copyright of the book both in the State and in the Madras Presidency has been reserved to the Government, whereas Mr. Hayes had the copyright himself in his ten-year Digest.

5 It is also understood that the Government have been pleased

pleased to make money grants for Manuals prepared by officers of some departments, and Mr. Gopaliengar represents that he should be properly remunerated for the time and trouble he spent over this work of a lasting nature.

(G.T. 200-11-03) Re grant of honorarium to Mr. T. Satyaraya chary for having compiled the Treasury Manual

6. Considering the magnitude, importance and utility of the work, the Judges think that Mr. Gopaliengar is deserving of a special reward for his labour: but they do not think that a personal allowance is the most suitable form of reward for work of the kind in question, and prefer to support his alternative request for a grant of money. I am therefore to request that the order conveyed in the second paragraph of their proceedings re. the remuneration be reconsidered by the Government, and that a sum of Rs.2000/- may be granted to Mr. Gopaliengar as a suitable compensation.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Venkata Ranga Aiengar

for REGISTRAR.

TSK

Submitted.

In the Dassara Representative Assembly the question of the preparation of a Digest of the Chief Court Reports was discussed in 1913 and we were asked to say if we had not ordered its preparation, and if ordered when it was likely to be ready. We received no offers from practitioners within the State at first.

The proprietor of the Law Printing House, Mr. Venkasawmy Rao, who happened to be here on his own business in June 1915, represented to the Chief Judge that he would compile a digest of the Chief Court cases for Rs.4000/- in addition to a promise by the Government to purchase a definite number of copies. In July 1915 Mr. Narayana Iyengar an advocate of Shimoga offered to take up the Digest work in the event of the Government promising a remuneration to him of Rs.2000/- besides purchasing from him a definite number of copies. He was asked to send up for the inspection of the Judges the notes if any which he had begun to take in view of preparing a Digest but he replied that his notes were not in a form fit for submission to the Judges. About the same time Mr. D. M. Ramachandra Rao, the Public Prosecutor of Shimoga, offered to prepare a Digest but when he was asked to state his terms he did not reply.

After waiting for some time the Chief Judge was pleased to direct me to prepare an official digest of reported cases. I undertook to do the work in obedience to the orders of the Judges in the latter part of July 1915. The Government in their Proceedings No.J.156-8/Cts.396-14-5 dated 1st November 1915 passed orders sanctioning the preparation of the Digest by me, and in doing so mentioned in paragraph 2 that no further expenditure beyond the sanctioned amount such as remuneration for preparing the Digest should be asked for. I submit the question of remuneration could

not

not have been considered in all its aspects at the time, as we made no reference to it in our letter to the Government. The work had been taken on hand in July 1915 and had progressed far by the 1st November 1915, the date of the Government Order, and it was not considered desirable that the question of remuneration should retard the progress of the work and I did not press it as it was not possible then to represent to the Government the quantity and quality of the work that had to be turned out by me.

The arrangements of the manuscripts of the headnotes of cases and the putting up of cross references in the Chief Court Report series was done under my immediate supervision in my house outside office hours. Finding that I could not give my undivided attention to this work during court days, I went to Madras in the summer of 1916 and there got all the headnotes sorted alphabetically, completed the cross references and finished the final arrangement of the manuscripts. When the actual printing commenced it was soon found that the final proof reading and issuing the print off order could not conveniently be done at Bangalore, and under the direction of the Judges, I proceeded to Madras in December 1916 and January 1917 obtaining privilege leave and finished the first 37 formes of the book. As no further progress could be made here up to the end of March I went to Madras again during the last summer vacation and was able to finish the work by the 31st May after incessantly working for about a month and a half.

The book was readily appreciated by the members of the Bar and we have been already able to sell 170 copies. The total cost of the publication to the Government (which exceeded its original estimate partly owing to the rise in the cost of paper and partly by reason of extra matter being added) had been Rs.3409-11-8. We have already realised

Rs. 1700/- and have to debit Rs.1910 the value of 191 copies which have been ordered to be distributed to Courts, Magistrates and offices in the state. Thus the money spent by the Government is more than covered up. The copies at present remaining unsold viz. 635 out of one thousand copies printed are the property of the Government, including the copyright of the publication. I submit the Government have realised a profit over the publication. I represent these matters as a basis upon which I claim remuneration for the work. If the Government are pleased to take as a standard the remuneration granted to Mr. J.V. Woodman who prepared an All India Digest of cases reported in 166 volumes of Reports extending over a period of 50 years from 1836 to 1886, the cost to them would be somewhat excessive, as I am informed that the Government of India paid not less than a lac of rupees.

My submission at present is that I am due to retire a little after 1919 after completing 25 years of service. I am not likely to earn full pension on account of deductions from my pension on technical grounds. The Judges may be pleased to recommend me to the Government for the grant of a special personal allowance of Rs.150 which may count towards my pension when retiring. The Government have been very liberal in granting personal or special allowances to officers of other departments who turn out heavy special work and I submit that my case may be specially recommended to Government for similar consideration on the ground of the special hard work done by me. A further ground is that my advancement in service has been retarded by direct appointments made from the Bar.

In the event of the Judges not being pleased to recommend me for a personal allowance I request I may be recommended for a lump sum remuneration of at least Rs.2500/- on

the

the basis of the remuneration granted to Mr. Hayes.

When the original size of the work which was estimated at 75 formes exceeded its first estimate by 38 formes the Government were pleased to sanction the extra cost of Rs.1458/7/8 over and above the originally sanctioned amount, to be paid on a full representation of the circumstances which led to it. Similarly, I feel confident that if the Judges should on a full consideration of my representation deem it reasonable and recommend me to the Government for a personal allowance or a lump sum grant, the Government will be pleased to reconsider their order and grant my prayer.

Sd/- N. T. Gopaliengar.

22/8/1917.

"C O P Y".

S. Venkataranga Iyengar

for REGISTRAR.

COPIES OF THE

OPINIONS REGARDING THE CONSOLIDATED DIGEST

OF THE MYSORE CHIEF COURT REPORTS.

From

RAJAMANTRAPRAVINA H. V. NANJUNDAYYA, Esq., M.A., M.L., C. I. E.

1.

Office of the Mysore University,

Dated Mysore, 27th July 1917.

My dear Gopaliengar,

I delayed answering your letter as I wished to test the usefulness of the book before giving you an opinion. The get up and the arrangement are excellent, and I have found it as good a digest as any that I had occasion to use.

With kind regards,

I am, Yours sincerely,

Sd/- H. V. Nanjundayya.

2.

E DAILY POST, DATED JULY 19, 1917.

A USEFUL REVIEW.

Good wine, according to an old saying, needs no bush, and a good book, in the same way, needs no praise from a reviewer.

We welcome the Digest of the cases decided by the Chief Court of Mysore which is compiled by Mr. N.T. Gopaliengar, Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore. He is a careful and industrious compiler. He has displayed considerable diligence in the work, and has striven hard to make the Digest useful

useful and instructive. The book bears marks of ability, lucid exposition and careful arrangement. We may congratulate him upon the manner in which he discharged his work. We have carefully examined the general plan of the book and read a large number of the notes, and we can safely say that this Digest will worthily take its place among the large number of Digests that have been published. A Digest of this kind has long been wanted by the legal profession in Mysore and we are glad to find that Mr. Iyengar has at last supplied the want in a way which leaves nothing to be desired. The Digest is thoroughly up to date and it will be of great utility to those who have either to administer or help in administering the law. The Digest, in short, is just the thing wanted in this period of sudden transition. Almost everything which is possible to facilitate reference has been done, while cross references are copiously given.

We have no doubt that a second edition will soon be called for. The book is creditably got up and is cheaply priced, both of which are additional recommendations in its favour.

3.

THE MADRAS LAW JOURNAL.

(July 1917, No.3).

A consolidated Digest of the cases decided by the Chief Court of Mysore, 1878 to 1916, published under the authority of the Government of Mysore.

We have great pleasure in announcing an official publication by the Government of Mysore of a digest of the Reports of cases decided by the Chief Court. We understand that prior to this publication there was no Digest of Mysore Reports and there can be no doubt that this volume will supply

a

a real and long-felt want to the Judges and members of the legal profession in the State of Mysore. The book is printed in the Law Printing House, Madras, and this adds very much to the attractiveness of the volume.

4.

THE BOMBAY LAW REPORTER.

(15th August 1917).

--

A Digest of the Mysore Chief Court Reports, 1878-1916 Compiled by N.T. Gopaliengar, Registrar, Chief Court of. Mysore. The Law Printing House, Mount Road, 1917. Roy. 8 vo. Pages 122 and Cols. 1550, Price Rs.10.

Of all the Native States in India, Mysore has always been the most progressive and this it owes as much to the advantage it had of direct British rule for fifty years as to the ability and statesmanship of its Dewans. When the Province was under the Government of India, there was a British Commission with a Chief Commissioner at its head. The law in the Province was the same as in the neighbouring British Territory with variations suited to local conditions. Therefore when the Province was rendered back to the Indian Ruler, the Government of India inserted in what was called "the Instrument of Rendition" a clause calculated to ensure to the people a continuation of the benefit of the same British laws. Ever since, the Mysore Government has from time to time introduced the later enactments of British India with slight changes here and there. Thus the law in Mysore is not different from that of British India. The Hindu law in force in that Province is of Madras and the Land Revenue has been borrowed from Bombay. It is this fact that induced the late Mr. Mayne to refer to Mysore decisions in

his

his monumental work on Hindu Law. We welcome with pleasure an excellent Digest of the Mysore Chief Court decisions which has just been issued by its Registrar, Mr. N.T. Gopaliengar, under the authority of the Government of Mysore. It covers a long period from 1878-1916. It has been made on the same lines as those of the Government of India Digest. In one respect the Mysore Digest is an improvement on it. It contains a table of overruled cases also. It has also a table of headings which is accurate and exhaustive. The Digest on the whole reflects great credit on the ability and industry of the compiler. We have great pleasure in commending it to the profession. The Digest has been printed in the Law Printing House and its get up fully maintains the deservedly high reputation that the Press enjoys.

5.

Mr. Justice T. Paramasiva Iyer.

*

The work is one of great utility for the State and Mr. Gopaliengar deserves great credit for the care and energy with which he has pushed it to completion. The Registrar has done the work as thoroughly and economically as possible.

Mr. Justice K.S.Chandrasekhara Aiyar.

*

Yes, both for Judges, Magistrates, and the legal profession.

I quite agree. . . The printing too has been done by the firm very neatly and in excellent style, and a word of thanks is due to them on this account.

6.

Opinion of Rajasabhabhushana Dewan Bahadur K.P.Puttanna Chetty, Esq., C.I.E.

Bangalore,

22nd August 1917.

Dear Mr. Gopaliengar,

I have bought a copy of your Digest of cases decided by the Chief Court since 1878. I find it a very useful book of reference both to the practitioners and the general public. Such a work has long been needed for the use of our offices also. So far as I can see you have executed the task in a praiseworthy manner, the indexing and referencing being fairly complete. The get up of the book is also good. It is needless to say that it supplies a long felt want.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/- K. P. Puttanna Chetty.

S. Venkata Ranga Aiyangar.

for REGISTRAR.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to J.453

Section Courts

File No. 52-17

Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

(Courts)

, *the* 191

No. J 1323

Date of issue 11.10.17

To

Signed by Mr. TJayaramaiya

The Registrar, Chief Court

Sir,

Paperwork

With reference to your letter no. C.261 917-18 dated 24.8.17 recommending the grant of a remuneration of Rs 2000 to Mr N.T. Gopalaiyar ~~for~~ for his work in connection with the preparation of the Digest of the Mysore Law and Chief Court Reports, I am directed to request you will be so good as to ~~state from what~~ point out the source the amount is proposed to be met

I have &c

Parkey to get Gen Dept

Ans 9/10

R.O.C.No: 261/1917-18.

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore, dated the 16th October 1917.

FROM

K.T.GOPALIENGAR, ESQ., B.A., LL. B.,

REGISTRAR OF THE CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

TO

C.S.BALASUNDARAM IYER, ESQ., B.A.,

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,

GENERAL DEPARTMENT.

SECRETARIAT
GENERAL JUDICIAL
16 OCT 1917

S i r ,

Adverting to your letter No: J.1323/Cts. 52-17-2 dated the 11th instant asking this Court to point out the source from which the honorarium recommended to the Registrar may be met, I am directed to state that, as it could not be foreseen when the Digest would be complete and whether the Judges would, after seeing that work, recommend a remuneration to the Registrar, previous sanction of the Government could not be obtained in time to make a special provision in the Budget of the current official year for such an honorarium. There is no head available in the Budget under 'Law and Justice' from which this unforeseen expenditure could be met. The only source that can be pointed out at present is the general savings of the Judicial Budget. If it is not considered feasible to meet the cost from the source indicated, I am to request that the Government may be pleased to order that the cost in question should be met by a special allotment of funds for the purpose from other sources.

I have the honor to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Venkata Ranga Iyengar

For REGISTRAR.

C.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT Honorarium to Sri N. J. Gopaleyer for his work in connection with the Digest of the Mysore Law Sch. Ct. Reports

Diary No. of letter replied to 3453

Section Courts
File No. 52-17
Serial No. 24

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Courts)
, the 191 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 21-12-17

Signed by

Read.—

1. G.O. no. 1596-8 ct 396-415 dt. 1-11-1915 sanctioning certain proposals of the Chief Court in connection with the preparation by the Registrar of the Digest of the Mysore Law & Chief Court Reports, subject to the condition that no further expenditure was suggested later on, such as extra remuneration, for the work.

Order Thereon:—No.

2. Letter no C-261-17-18 dt. 24-8-17 from the Registrar, Chief Court, stating that considering the magnitude, importance and utility of the work

over.

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part? P 9449

the Judges think that Mr Gopalayya is deserving of special reward for his labour, and requesting that para 2 of the order read above may be reconsidered and a sum of Rs 2000 granted to him as a suitable compensation.

—

Order. No. 1

In the circumstances represented Govt are pleased to sanction the payment of an honorarium of two months' pay to Mr Gopala Iyengar for his work in connection with the preparation of the Digest.

2. The Chief Court is requested to suggest reappropriation in the Judicial Budget for the current year for meeting the said amount.

By Order of
Chief Secretary

To the Registrar, Chief Court,
" Comptroller to Govt,

List of enclosures.

23/2

Copied by

Examined by